

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/089,135	PHELAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** finally rejected

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Howard Cohn.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*all*

Claims discussed:  
*all*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*all*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested reciting in the specification and claims that the arcuately-shaped side of the patch is convex (supported by original Figures 1 and 2) in order to overcome the prior art rejections of record.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)